

RENEWAL PROJECT REVIEW CRITERIA	Project type >	PSH	Non DV RRH or RRH-TH	DV RRH or RRH-TH	SSO-CE	HMIS
	<b>Maximum points</b>	<b>125</b>	<b>110</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>45</b>
	Points related to performance	50	35	30	20	20
	% performance-related criteria	40%	32%	30%	31%	44%
	Points on objective criteria	100	85	75	45	25
	% objective criteria	80%	77%	75%	69%	56%

Points by category

S/O = Subjective or Objective

Review Criteria	Description	S/O	Points	PSH	Non DV RRH or RRH-TH	DV RRH or RRH-TH	SSO-CE	HMIS
<b>Project Performance/Capacity to Perform</b>				<b>50</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>Rapid Access - Days to Entry</b>	Median days to program entry after CE referral	O	5	≤20	≤10	≤10		
<b>Rapid Access - CE Assessment</b>	% HHs with CE assessment or qualified HPS exit	O	5				>75%	
<b>Housing Stability - Outcome</b>	% remained in or exited to permanent housing	O	5	>85%	>85%			
<b>Housing Stability - Improvement</b>	Improvement in outcome measure from previous year	O	2.5	Yes	Yes			
<b>Earned Income for Stayers - Outcomes</b>	% adult stayers who increased earned income	O	5	>15%				
<b>Earned Income for Stayers - Improvement</b>	Improvement in outcome measure from previous year	O	2.5	Yes				
<b>Earned Income for Leavers - Outcomes</b>	% adult leavers who increased earned income	O	5	>15%	>15%	>15%		
<b>Earned Income for Leavers - Improvement</b>	Improvement in outcome measure from previous year	O	2.5	Yes	Yes	Yes		
<b>Other Income for Stayers - Outcomes</b>	% adult stayers who increased other income	O	5	>40%				
<b>Other Income for Stayers - Improvement</b>	Improvement in outcome measure from previous year	O	2.5	Yes				
<b>Other Income for Leavers - Outcomes</b>	% adult leavers who increased other income	O	5	>30%	>30%	>30%		
<b>Other Income - Improvement</b>	Improvement in outcome measure from previous year	O	2.5	Yes	Yes	Yes		
<b>Access to benefits - Outcomes</b>	% HHs that accessed mainstream benefits	O	5				>25%	
<b>Decreased returns to Homelessness - Outcome</b>	% of participants returned to homelessness	O	5	<5%	<5%			
<b>Decreased returns to Homelessness - Improvement</b>	Improvement in outcome measure from previous year	O	2.5	Yes	Yes			
<b>Population specific - Housing Stability</b>	% participants remain in PH 6 months after move in date	O	5			> 90%		
<b>Population specific - Decreased perceived risk</b>	% participants report reduced level of perceived risk at 6 months	O	5			> 75%		
<b>Non-Housing - Supporting System Outcomes (SPMs)</b>	Narrative documents at least 2 actions and improvements to support SPMs	S	10				Yes	Yes
<b>Non-Housing - Data System Performance</b>	Satisfactory review received from the HMIS governance board	O	10					Yes

Review Criteria	Description	S/O	Points	PSH	Non DV RRH or RRH-TH	DV RRH or RRH-TH	SSO-CE	HMIS
<b>Policy/System Alignment</b>				<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>Advancing equity</b>	Specific action steps taken and planned to advance equity within program	S	5	≥ 1 action step completed in 2023; 2 proposed for 2024	≥ 1 action step completed in 2023; 2 proposed for 2024	≥ 1 action step completed in 2023; 2 proposed for 2024	≥ 1 action step completed in 2023; 2 proposed for 2024	≥ 1 action step completed in 2023; 2 proposed for 2024
<b>Coordinated Entry improvement</b>	# CES development activities in which project/agency participated	O	5	≥ 4	≥ 4	≥ 4	≥ 3	≥ 3
<b>Equal Access implementation</b>	Few elements have a "no" response without a plan to address	S	5	<5	<5	<5		
<b>Housing First implementation</b>	% points on Housing First Assessment + plan to address denials, if applicable	S	10	≥ 75% + plan, if applicable	≥ 75% + plan, if applicable	≥ 75% + plan, if applicable		
<b>Promoting participant self sufficiency</b>	% annual assessments complete for eligible participants; plan defines specific service levels and steps to support self sufficiency	S	5	≥ 90% + plan	≥ 90% + plan	≥ 90% + plan		
<b>Priority Needs</b>				<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>0</b>
Serving chronically homeless persons	% participants identified as chronically homeless at entry	O	5	> 80%	> 30%		> 30%	
Serving persons with a disability	% participants have a disability at entry	O	5	> 95%	> 40%	> 40%	> 30%	
Serving persons fleeing domestic violence	% participants identified as fleeing DV at entry	O	5	> 25%	> 50%	> 90%	> 30%	
Serving other high-need population/underserved group	% participants in other priority population	O	5	> 40%	> 40%	> 40%		
<b>Project Management</b>				<b>25</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>15</b>
Full utilization of beds/units/slots	% of total HH capacity in use at quarterly PIT	O	5	≥ 90%	≥ 90%	≥ 90%	≥ 90%	
HMIS data quality	% data quality points possible	O	5	≥ 75%	≥ 75%	≥ 75%		
Regular fund draws	Draws are regular and at least quarterly	O	5	4+ draws at regular intervals	4+ draws at regular intervals	4+ draws at regular intervals	4+ draws at regular intervals	4+ draws at regular intervals
Low rate of fund recapture	% of funds recaptured in last two grant cycles	O	5	≤ 5%	≤ 5%	≤ 5%	≤ 5%	≤ 5%
Staff training and support	Training records indicate compliance with CoC policy	S	5	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes