April 2023 - September 2023

River Valleys CoC

Quantitative data only Questions		uation A	reas	Outcome	s	Equity Review	Data Notes	
Cucations Freeding to the tricipation of the children of the tricipation of the tricipation of the children of the tricipation of the tricipat	C C P P C			BIPOC	%		BIPOC = Black, Indigenous, or other Person of Color. Based on annual PIT Count, Coordinated r Entry, and Wilder Study data, persons who identify as BIPOC make up ~45% of the total	
X	Č 😵	ers .	HHs	HHs	BIPOC	subpopulations experience similar outcomes?	population of persons experiencing homelessness in the region.	
1 How many households were assisted with Housing Problem Solving (HPS)/diversion?	Х	Х	548	237	43%		Due to data quality issues, HPS data reflects <u>entries</u> within the report period only, not the 768 that have open entries in the report period.	
2 How many households recorded an HPS event?	Х	Х	171	73	43%			
3 How many HPS participants were connected to other resources?	X	X	140	60	43%	Compared to White, non-Hispanic householders, households with BIPOC heads of houselds were reported less frequently receiving Food Stamps/SNAP (-11%), and Other services and referrals (-10%). However they were more likely to receive criminal justice or legal assistance (+30%), transportation (+18%), and health services (+20%). Adults with children and youth were also more likely to be connect to resources in HPS than single adults.	140 is a minimum number of HPS participants receiving services and is based on the number of households that received the most common service type, Basic Needs. Data element doesn't identify connections may have already had (and not needed) during HPS. Client detail tab for Diversion is not available for HPS outcomes, so # households that did not receive any services or referrals could not be calculated. Same note on BIPOC calculation as above.	
4 How many households went on to complete the CE Assessment?	Х	X	474	213	45%		See data note on question 1 above. Up to 202 households assessed into CE after HPS appear to be households that do not meet criteria for prioritization in CE (DV, LTH, HUD homeless). 202/474 = 43%	
5 How many households received CE assessment without HPS?	Х	ХХ	276	117	42%		≥52 entries to CE without HPS appear to be households that do not meet criteria for prioritization in CE (DV, LTH, HUD homeless).	
6 How many assessments were phone, virtual, or in-person?	Х	Х					Not currently tracked	
7 How many CE households received navigation services?	Х	Х	126	49	39%		126 = 17% of active referrals and 6% of all households in CE	
8 How many CE referrals were made per opening?		Х	4.8	224	42%		712 referrals for 185 openings = 4.8/opening. By project entry type: TH= 2.8, RRH= 2.5, PSH=7.0 (224 of 539 = 42%)	

Questions	Evalua	ation A	reas (Outcome	S	Equity Review	Data Notes
Cuestions Freering Consoliance Danticipation of Experience of Danticipation of Dant	Ct Providence	ders	Overall HHs	BIPOC HHs	% BIPOC	Did participants of different races, ethnicities, o subpopulations experience similar outcomes?	BIPOC = Black, Indigenous, or other Person of Color. Based on annual PIT Count, Coordinated or Entry, and Wilder Study data, persons who identify as BIPOC make up ~45% of the total population of persons experiencing homelessness in the region.
9 How many CE referrals resulted in housing with	X	X	97	37		Black or African-American householders	97 referrals from CE identified as successful with entries to CE housing. However, data quality
CE-participating programs?				2.	- 2 , 3	represented 22% of entries to CE, 22% of referrals made, and 22% of successful referrals in this period.	issues noted above cause an undercount of successful referrals. Initial review of HMIS data found
10 How many CE referrals were denied and why?	X	x x	381	154	40%	Overall, BIPOC households, particularly Black or African American households (22% of referrals), were much more likely to have unsuccessful referrals reported due to "Property Management denial - criminal history" (38% referrals) and "Client unable to locate housing" (64%). By comparison, White households make up 58% of referrals but only 36% of households unable to locate housing.	Includes referrals categorized as cancelled or declined by the provider. Most cancelled and declined referrals occur for PSH (76%) although just 52% of openings were in PSH. 56% were reported as unreachable (including after initial contact). <1% were reported as client refusals. 2% t were denied due to inability to find a unit. 9% were denied based on criminal history or rental history. 3% had self-resolved.
11 How many households entering CE were experiencing first time homelessness?		X	287	136	47%		MN First Time Homeless definition used.
12 How many households exited CE to a homeless destination?	X	x	12	8	67%		Reported destination at recorded CE exit. Total 442 exits during 6 month period. 26 exits with homeless destination = 2.7%.
13 How quickly did programs report openings?		x x					Not currently tracked. Will explore ways to measure once new HMIS software is in place.
14 How quickly did programs report results of referrals?	2	x x					Not currently tracked. Will explore ways to measure once new HMIS software is in place.
15 Did any programs fill units outside of CES?		хх	?				120 housing entries occurred in PSH, RRH, and TH projects during 6-month review period. 97 CE Referrals entered TH, RRH, or PSH with recorded outcomes; 13 referrals were unresolved and 5 were missing information. See note on data quality regarding HPS and CE outcomes and exits. Will determine way to calculate this more easily and with greater detail after HMIS software transition.

Coordinated Entry (CE) Evaluation Summary: Quantitative data only	<mark>A</mark> ç	<mark>ril 2023 -</mark>	September 20	23		River Valleys CoC
Questions	Evaluation	Areas	Outcomes	Equity Review	Data Notes	
Effectiveness of a cost of the file of the	of CE providers	Overa HHs		5 Did participants of differen OC subpopulations experience	BIPOC = Black, Indigenous, or other Person of Color. Based on annual PIT Cour It races, ethnicities, or Entry, and Wilder Study data, persons who identify as BIPOC make up ~45% o e similar outcomes? population of persons experiencing homelessness in the region.	
16 How many providers participated in CE	×	20			34 people from 20 agencies partcipated in 5 provider meetings. Average per mo	onthly meeting =
Provider meetings to improve CE system?					14 people.	
17 How many providers participated in trainings	X X	26				
to improve CE system?					26 = total non CoC staff attendees at CE Assessor and CE Housing Provider train	ings.
18 How many providers participated in CE	X					
Committee meetings to improve CE system?						
19 How many providers participated in CE DQ to	X X	19			19 participants from 10 agencies submitted CE DQ monitoring reports	

improve CE system?

Data Sources: Minnesota's HMIS. "MN-00-CES-266 CE Monitoring - v.2024.1." Report range April 1, 2023 - September 30, 2023. All projects and all geographies included.

"MIN-01-SAG-030 - v2023.2." Report range April 1, 2023 - Septmeber 30, 2023. Project types included: Transitional Housing, Rapid Rehousing, PH - Services, PH - Housing, Permanent Supportive Housing.